

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

JUN - 4 2004

Hypertend Inc.
Plaintiff(s) 10 James Wagner

vs.

Clerk of the Superior Court
By [Signature] Case No. SCC 101498
DEPUTY CLERK

Discor Financial Services
Defendant(s) 10 CT Corporation System

JUDGMENT ON SMALL CLAIMS APPEAL

The cause came on regularly for Trial de Novo on 6-4-04 before the
Honorable Judge W. George Wailes, Pro Tem

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED
AND DECREED** that judgment shall be entered as indicated below:

PRD Plaintiff(s) Hypertend Inc. recover from Defendant(s) Discor Financial Services
\$ 5,000 and \$ 60.00 costs.

PRDCC Plaintiff(s) plus \$156.25 prejudgment recover from Defendant(s) for total \$5,156.25 judgment plus costs
\$ _____ and \$ _____ costs, and the Defendant(s) take nothing by
counterclaim.

PTN Plaintiff(s) take nothing from defendant(s).

PDTN Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) take nothing.

PDTNC Plaintiff(s) take nothing from defendant(s); and that Defendant(s) _____
recover from Plaintiff(s) _____ the sum of \$ _____ and
\$ _____ costs on counterclaim.

CRMC Case remanded to Municipal Court pursuant to C.C.P. Section 116.780(d) for enforcement of
Judgment.

FFT NOTE: Judgment on e-mails dated 8/16, 10/16, 9/30,
9/16 and 10/3/02 listed on Exhibit J

Dated: June 4, 2004

[Signature]
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE Pro Tem

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

FILED
SAN MATEO COUNTY

Hypertouch Inc.,
Plaintiff(s) / 100 James Wagner

JUN - 4 2004

Clerk of the Superior Court
By [Signature] Deputy Clerk

vs.

Discover Financial Services
Defendant(s) / C/O CT Corporation System

SCC 101499

JUDGMENT ON SMALL CLAIMS APPEAL

The cause came on regularly for Trial de Novo on 6-4-04 before the
Honorable Judge W. George Wailes, Pro Tem

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED
AND DECREED** that judgment shall be entered as indicated below:

PRD

Plaintiff(s) Hypertouch Inc. recover from Defendant(s) Discover Financial Services
\$ 5,462.25 and \$ 0.00 costs. bad faith appeal under CCP § 116.790, and 156.25 interest
\$ 5,000 damages, \$306

PRDCC

Plaintiff(s) _____ recover from Defendant(s) _____
\$ _____ and \$ _____ costs, and the Defendant(s) take nothing by
counterclaim.

PTN

Plaintiff(s) take nothing from defendant(s).

PDTN

Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) take nothing.

PDTNC

Plaintiff(s) take nothing from defendant(s); and that Defendant(s) _____
recover from Plaintiff(s) _____ the sum of \$ _____ and
\$ _____ costs on counterclaim.

CRMC

Case remanded to Municipal Court pursuant to C.C.P. Section 116.780(d) for enforcement of
Judgment.

FFT

NOTE: Judgment based on e-mails dated 7/16, 8/2,
7/3, 7/9 and 7/2/02 based on Exhibit 1.

Dated: June 4, 2004

[Signature]
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE Pro Tem

Allow 2 weeks for transmittal file.
Original to be FILED.
County Clerk Form No. 238 (Mar.'90)